Proposal 090

IPv6 thinking for an IPv6 world

Why do we need this?

- © Common misconception that all ISPs should fit in a /32 leads to:
- Squeezing customers into /56, /60, or in some cases even /64 assignments.
- People are historically bad at bit-math.

How does this help?

- Nibble Aligned Allocations no bitmath
- Nibble Aligned hierarchy no bitmath
- Clear ability to delegate up to /48 per endsite as basic minimum
- Ability to assign more than /48 per end-site with justification

How does this help (cont)

- 5-year planning horizon -- Better Aggregation
- Consistent sized divisions -- Make every PoP like your largest PoP
 - Simplifies subdividing
 - Reduces fragmentation
 - Consistent expectations across network

Simplified Expansion

- No complicated HD ratio
 - 75% utilization overall
 - 90% utilization at any single site
- Oversized subsequent allocations
 - Enough to contain present+future use.
 - Vacate original allocation through attrition with optional return

What's the downside?

- Increased IPv6 prefix consumption
 - Without this policy, in 50 years, IPv6 will still have roughly 99.9975% free.
 - This policy will reduce that to approximately 99.54% if adopted in every RIR.

Summary

- Better Aggregation
- Better Network Structure
- Fewer Outages (no bit-math required)
- Bigger Prefixes
- Still plenty of free space for way more than 50 years.

Questions

- Thanks for your time
- Please Approve this Policy Change